

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|-------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------|--|
| 10/774,472 | 02/10/2004 | Yoshiaki Tatsumi | 101160-00026 | 101160-00026 9149 | |
| 4372 7 | 590 05/02/2006 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| ARENT FOX PLLC 1050 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 400 WASHINGTON, DC 20036 | | | TRAN, T | TRAN, THAO T | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1711 | 1711 | |
| | DATE MAILED: 05/02/2006 | | 5 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 10/774,472 | TATSUMI ET AL. | | | |
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Thao T. Tran | 1711 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | lross | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 20 April 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP | | • | 7 000 ** | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of | | | | | |
| this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. | | | | | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f | ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI | • | D WITHIN TWO | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the | The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) | on fee under 37 as set forth in (b) | | |
| | nliance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be | e filed within two mon | the of the date | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS | | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); | | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or | | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). | | | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s | | simplicant y unionalities | (| | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). | illowable if submitted in a separate | , timely filed amendm | nent canceling | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | ill be entered and an | explanation of | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,5,6,18 and 19</u> . | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 7-9 and 12-17. | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | d sufficient reasons why the affida | vit or other evidence | is necessary | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessarily a good and sufficient reasons. | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| nils to provide a (1). | | |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | on of the status of the claims after ϵ | entry is below or attac | ched. | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

13. Other: _____.

See Continuation Sheet.

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The proposed amendment introduces the new limitation, "capable of withstanding", that is considered new matter because there is no adequate support for this limitation anywhere in the specification as originally presented. The specification provides the step of low-temperature compression bonding processing performed at a temperature of 100 to 250oC under pressure to form a laminate structure. However, there is nowhere in which the specification provides support for the adhesive film specifically "capable of withstanding" low-temperature compression bonding.

THAO T. TRAN
PATENT EXAMINER